

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/966,447 | 09/28/2001 | David Christian Lentz | CRD-0957 | 2148 |
| 27777 7590 12/26/2007 PHILIP S. JOHNSON | | | | INER |
| JOHNSON & JOHNSON / ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA | | | RYCKMAN, MELISSA K | |
| NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003 | | A | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3773 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/26/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | | | | | | | |
| Pos | Advisory Action | 09/966,447 | LENTZ ET AL. | | | | |
| belore t | fore the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Melissa Ryckman | 3773 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| | LY FILED <u>14 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI | | | | | | |
| this plac (3) a follo | reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the follows the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composing time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C | ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | |
| b) 🔲 | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th | | er is later. In no | | | |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | | O WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions been filed is CFR 1.17(a above, if ch earned pate | of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state ecked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | |
| of fil Sind | Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in coming the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ele a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | |
| AMENDM | | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | |
| (d)[| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| 4. 🔲 The | amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| | plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | · | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| | m(s) withdrawn from consideration: IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The | affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| ente show | . The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| | e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after o | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | |
| | T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER e request for reconsideration has been considered bu | ut does NOT place the application i | in condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

NOTE: the addition of "the plurality of staples extending from the wire ring structure in the same direction as the substantially sinusoidally shaped configuration" requires further search and consideration.

(JACKIE) TAN-UYEN HO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER